Ascent timescales at the Onset of the Oruanui, NZ Supereruption

Madison Myers University of Oregon

In collaboration with: Paul J. Wallace, Colin J.N. Wilson, Jim Watkins and Yang Liu





How are large volume eruptions triggered?

Chamber Triggered

Externally Triggered

Much of our understanding for the initiation of large volume, caldera forming eruptions comes from modeling.

Q: Would the timescales associated with various triggering mechanisms differ?

MAGMA CHAMBER

e.g Roche et al., 2000; Roche and Druitt 2001; Carrichi et al. 2014; Malfait et al. 2014 e.g. Allen et al. 2012 ; Gregg et al. 2012, 2015; de Silva and Gregg 2014 Hypothesis: We can use volatiles concentrations and gradients (namely H₂O and CO₂) to quantify the timescales of opening behavior.



H₂O + CO₂: FTIR, University of Oregon

Three supereruptions with different characteristics

Oruanui, NZ **Bishop Tuff Huckleberry Ridge** 25.4 ka, 530 km³ 760 ka, 650 km³ 2.08 Ma, 2500 km³ No significant time Significant time Subtle reworking in breaks between fall breaks observed; the fall deposit entire eruption layers, the longest indicates multiple inferred to have on the order of short time breaks weeks to months taken ~6 days Wilson 2009 Wilson 2001 Wilson and Hildreth 1997 **Huckleberry Ridge Bishop Tuff** Do the differences observed in opening behavior reflect processes associated with eruption initiation?

Taupo Volcanic Zone (TVZ)

Modern TVZ began around 2 Ma; predominantly andesite. At 1.6 Ma switched to dominantly rhyolitic volcanism (10,000 km³).



Oruanui Supereruption, NZ (25.4 ka, 530 km³)



Phase 3

Allan et al. 2012



Lateral injection of a foreign magma body

The longest time gap is between phase 1 and phase 2, estimated to be on the order of several weeks. Are there timescale indications associated with the initial fall deposits of the Oruanui eruption, where rifting facilitated its initiation?



* All inclusions are crystal & bubble-free

- METHOD 1: H diffusion through quartz on timescales of days
- METHOD 2: H₂O and CO₂ gradients formed in REs during final ascent; timescales of hours

H₂O and CO₂ Concentrations for Melt Inclusions



Melt Inclusions (n=95)

Diffusive Loss of H₂O from entrapped MIs during magma ascent



Method I: Diffusion of H through Quartz

♦ Initial H₂O Concentration – Highest melt inclusion values
 ♦ External H₂O Concentration – Reentrant interior value



- Diffusion Coefficient (Severs et al. 2007)
- Partition Coefficient (Qin et al. 1992)
- Size of inclusion and distance to rim

Timing of opening behavior: evidence for sluggish start



Different decompression histories into a single clast?



Reentrants to calculate final ascent (H₂O & CO₂)



During decompression, gas exsolves into bubbles. This drives $H_2O \& CO_2$ gradients in enclosed melt pockets (reentrants) that can be modeled to estimate decompression rate.



Assumptions input into the Liu et al. 2007 model:

1. Initial Conditions (Based on Melt Inclusion values or Innermost Reentrant

Concentration)

- 2. Initial exsolved gas
- 3. Fragmentation Threshold = 10 MPa (when quenching is assumed to have occurred)
- 4. Constant Decompression

Modeled H₂O and CO₂ (when present) gradients from 26 reentrants





Sample Locations



Ascent rate seems to increase most significantly in the Oruanui between Phase 1/Phase 2 (central vent) to Phase 3 (elongated source, higher eruptive volume)

Evidence that rifting strongly influenced the Oruanui initiation



- $\diamond~$ Time breaks in deposition.
- ♦ Foreign magma body laterally injected, facilitated through rifting
- \diamond H₂O scatter in MIs- prolonged ascent, potentially low overpressure
- Slow final ascent rates for Phase 1 &
 2, with increased rates associated with Phase 3



