Tsunami potential and modeling

GEORGE PRIEST

OREGON DEPT. OF GEOLOGY AND MINERAL INDUSTRIES NEWPORT COASTAL FIELD OFFICE



April 7, 2012 GeoPRISMS Cascadia Workshop, Portland, Oregon

What creates most uncertainty for prediction of local tsunami hazard?

• Hydrodynamic model? NO

Benchmark tests show similar results for similar grid refinement; findings:

- Unstructured grids better at simulating critical coastal features (harbors, jetties, estuaries).

 Model must be tailored to source (e.g. dynamic sources versus static sources).



G. Priest, GeoPRISMS 4-7-12

Source model? YES

Landslides?

- 350–850 ka Cascadia recurrence
- 20 x 20 km incipient slump Gold Beach-Brookings (S. margin) (Goldfinger et al., 2000).



Runup + inundation = dir. Proportional to <u>peak slip</u> <u>directly offshore</u> (est. fr. convergence rate, paleoseismic data.)



G. Priest, GeoPRISMS 4-7-12

Example: Cannon Beach, OR (Priest et al., 2009)



Slip Distribution

- Velocity strengthening (Pleistocene wedge)
 - minimizes slip to the trench;
 - maximizes elastic uplift landward of the trench;
 - deformation skewed to shallower water.





Slip – Sumatra, 2004 (Chlieh et al. (2007)

SEATOS line 1 (Fisher et al. (2007)

- Velocity weakening (no Pleistocene wedge)
 - maximizes slip to the trench;
 - deformation skewed to deeper water.
 - steep slope creates uplift when slope moves seaward;



Schematic cross section – Continental slope moved seaward





– Water elevation at shore – 27-31%



100



Shallow buried rupture deformation model (M2).

Newport Profile





Cape Blanco Profile







G. Priest GeoPRISMS 4-7-12

Source: Witter et al (2011), DOGAMI SP43

Impulsive Energy



2005 Mw 8.6 Nias– Simeulue Earthquake (Konca et al., 2007)

 Horizontal component only important for steep slopes
Vertical component critical for all slopes
Too short in duration or scale to affect tsunami? G. Priest. GeoPRISMS 4-7-12



Tohoku Earthquake March 11, 2011

- Slow rupture velocity of 1.5 km/sec seaward of hypocenter
- Ground acceleration up to 1000 gal
- Slip seaward skewed; partly a "tsunami earthquake"
- Max. slip ~50-70 m near trench; mean ~15 m.
- Vertical displacement >8 m
- 2-stage tsunami; 30-40 m run-up at max. slip patch



References: Lay et al. (2011), Petukhin et al. (2012), Satake et al. (2012); Yamazaki et al. (BSSA, in press)

Tohoku 2011 2-Stage rupture creates complex wave interference



Rupture Duration: 141 sec

.42°₩ t = 0: 0 [40°N .40°N 40°N 38°N 38°N 38°N 36³N 36₫N |44° E 42∘ E 144 ∘ E 146° E 42° E |42° E |44°E |46° E 46 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 -5-4-3-2-1 0 -5-4-3-2-1 0 2345678910 10 0 1 Slip (Dislocation) (m) Seafloor Deformation (m) Surface Elevation (m) G. Priest, GeoPRISMS 4-7-12

Yamazaki et al (BSSA, 2012) in press

Question:

Is 50-70 m slip near Japan trench really needed to explain Tohoku tsunami?



G. Priest, GeoPRISMS 4-7-12

Small-scale wedge deformation can reduce needed slip e.g., 36-43 % for 1896 Sanriku tsunami (Tanioka and Seno, 2001)



Is southern Cascadia like Tohoku?







1:1 vertical to horizontal



G. Priest, GeoPRISMS 4-7-12

Small Pleistocene wedge in southern Cascadia

Coseismic Slip Oregon Tsunami Simulations



Source: Witter et al (2011), DOGAMI SP43

G. Priest, GeoPRISMS 4-7-12

Cascadia Tsunami Hazard - Current Estimates



Frequency over last 10,000 yrs Earthquake size (turbidite mass) & Follow times (turbidite record)

G. Priest, GeoPRISMS 4-7-12

Source: Witter et al (2011), DOGAMI SP43

Coseismic Subsidence



45°

Latitude (North)

44°

XXL elevations at 50 m isobath (colored lines) Geist (2005) peak near shore amplitude (gray band)

46°

Source of figures: Witter et al (2011), DOGAMI Special Paper 43 Hawkes = Hawkes et al. (2011) Witter = Witter et al. (2003) Kelsey = Kelsey et al. (2005) Leonard = Leonard et al. (2004)



48°

47°

G. Priest, GeoPRISMS 4-7-12

42°

43°



RESEARCH NEEDS

- Southern Cascadia tsunami sensitivity tests
 - Near-trench deformation
 - Dynamic ruptures
 - Paleoseismic ground truth of source models (e.g. Bradley Lake)
 - Incipient landslide impact (Gold Beach-Brookings segment)
- Modern observations of
 - Coseismic deformation
 - Time histories of seafloor displacement.
 - Correlation of coseismic deformation to structure (wedge age, etc.)
- Cascadia splay fault + landslide mapping and ages
- Modeling dynamic ruptures (tsunami and fault dislocation)
- Probabilistic tsunami hazard analysis (PTHA) of :
 - Slip magnitude
 - Slip distribution
 - Dynamic ruptures
 - Landslides

