Mantle Processes and Geodynamics Dynamic processes in the mantle wedge

Ikuko Wada Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution





Competition between slab-driven flow and ...

- Along-arc variations in slab geometry [Kneller and van Keken, 2007] (keynote by Peter van Keken)
- "Cold plumes" [*Gerya* and Yuen, 2003, *Gerya* et al., 2006]
- Slab edge [*Jadamec* and Billen, 2010]
- Foundering of arc lower crust [*Behn et al.*, 2007]
- Slab rollback
 [Long and Silver, 2008]



Ē

Robustness of Processes in the Mantle Wedge

Location of the Arc

• The arc tends to form where the slab is 100–120 km deep [*England et al.*, 2004; *Syracuse et al.*, 2008].

Hot Backarc

• Shallow part of the mantle is hot [Currie and Hyndman, 2006]



Water in Mafic Arc Magmas: Olivine Melt Inclusions



"Why mafic arc magmas contain 4 wt% water on average?" – T. Plank et al. at Goldschimdt 2011

Cold to Hot Thermal Transition: Surface Heat Flow



E.g., Honda [1985], Furukawa [1993], Kincaid and Sacks [1997], van Keken et al., [2002], Currie et al. [2004], Conder [2005]

Cold to Hot Thermal Transition: Seismic Attenuation

- 1. Transition from cold to hot is sharp.
- 2. Transition tends to occur where the slab is at 70-80 km depth.



Sharp Thermal Transition at 70-80 km depth



Factors that affect the mantle-interface strength contrast

- T- dependence of the mantle rheology [Wada et al., 2011]
- Rheology of the interface manterial
- Metamorphic changes of the interface material or the mantle
- Variations in fluid and melt content
- Hot backarc heat supply

```
•
```

Arc Location: Location of Hydrous Melting

1. Location of fluid release/influx

 Dehydration reactions in the slab and in the hydrous layer at the wedge base [e.g., *Tatsumi*, 1986; *Peacock*, 1990; *Davies and Stevenson*, 1992; *Grove et al.*, 2009]



2. Volatile transport to the hot region

- Plumes/diapirs [*Hall and Kincaid*, 2001; *Gerya and Yuen*, 2003; *Currie et al.*, 2007; *Behn et al.*, 2011]
- Porous fluid migration within the wedge [Arcay et al., 2005; Iwamori, 1998, 2007; Cagnioncle et al., 2007; Hebert et al., 2009]

- Fluid migration occurs through interconnected pores between grains.
- Grain-scale permeability (k) depends on grain size (d) and fluid fraction (φ):
 k = (d² φ³) / 270 [Wark et al, 2003]





[Cagnioncle et al., 2007]

Grain Size Evolution Model

[Austin and Evans, 2007, 2009; Behn et al., 2009]

Laboratory-derived model for wet olivine



 The model does not account for brittle deformation and is valid only for creeping regions (> 600° C).

> Poster: "Grain size distribution in the mantle wedge" [Wada et al., JGR, in press]

Steady State Grain Size Distribution

Slab age100 MaSubduction rate4 cm/yrSlab dip30°

Grain size increases downdip from 10-100 µm to a few cm, by > 2 orders of magnitude, independent of subduction parameters.

Poster: "Grain size distribution in the mantle wedge" [Wada et al., JGR, in press]



Effect of Grain Size Variations

Fluid migration model in progress [I. Wada, M. Behn., and E. M. Parmentier]





Darcy's flux

$$\prod_{S=-\frac{k}{\eta}} \left[\Delta \rho_{g}^{\mathbf{r}} + \nabla P \right]$$

Permeability

$$k = \phi^3 d^2 / 270$$

Effect of Grain Size Variations

Fluid migration model in progress [I. Wada, M. Behn., and E. M. Parmentier]





Conceptual Model for Fluid Migration



Concluding Remarks

Despite complex dynamic processes, the mantle wedge exhibits robust features – Clues to understanding the mantle wedge dynamics.

- Maximum depth of slab-mantle decoupling Disappearance of mantle-interface strength contrast
- Cold wedge nose (<70-80 km depth) No significant mantle flow
- Hot region Enough flow to bring heat for melt generation; Competition among viscous coupling and other flow drivers.
- Relatively high water content of mafic arc magmas Water transfer mechanism.
- Arc location relative to the slab Focusing of melt where slab is ~110 km deep: Grain size variations may help regulate upward fluid flow.
- Hot backarc Small scale convection; heat supply regulator?



Robust Feature: Hot Mantle (> 70-80 km depth)

Formation of hydrous phases

 Thin hydrous boundary layer above the subducting slab [Kawakatsu and Watada, 2007; Grove et al., 2009] – weakening effect

Melting

- Anhydrous melting via adiabatic decompression [e.g., *England and Katz*, 2010]
- Hydrous melting [e.g., *Grove et al.*, 2006] Fluid availability

Fluid migration

- Grain size, dynamics pressure gradients due to mantle shear and compaction, variations in fluid influx
- Shear induced melt bands [*Spiegelman*, 1993; *Katz et al.*, 2006; *Butler*, 2009]
- Anisotropic permeability of serpentinites [Kawano et al., 2011]



F

melt fraction, o

0.3

Variable direction of fast direction and magnitude of delay time

What does seismic anisotropy indicate?

[Holtzmen et al, 2003]

- Crystal-preferred orientation (CPO) of olivine: A type vs. B type [*Jung and Karato,* 2001; *Kneller et al.,* 2007].
- FIG of serpentine [Katayama et lenses (e.g, Holtzman et al. [2003])





Large Variability in Observables

Seismic Anisotropy



■

Cold Wedge Nose & Mantle Wedge Serpentinization

